

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,957	12/04/2003	Katsuyuki Uchida	36856.1158	7826
7:	590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER	
Keating & Bennett LLP			HAM, SEUNGSOOK	
Suite 312 10400 Eaton Pl	ace		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfax, VA 2			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assistant Surrent	10/727,957	UCHIDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seungsook Ham	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ TI 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,7 and 10-23 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	re withdrawn from consider	ation.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami		_	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> is			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least open content.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Neterences Cited (PTO-652) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/18/04, 12/4/03. 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/727,957

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, figures 1, 2, 9-15 in the reply filed on 2/18/05 is acknowledged.

Claims 6, 7, and 10-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species II-IV, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 2/18/05.

It should be noted that claim 10 is related to non-elected species (see fig. 16), thus, claims 10, 11, 14, 16 and 17 are also withdrawn from the consideration.

Moreover, claims 4 and 5 (see fig. 15) are related to the elected species, thus, these claims will be considered in this Office Action.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter of claim 8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure

Art Unit: 2817

is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/727,957 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

In claim 8, "the magnetic body includes a **hole**, which is filled with...and a resin" cannot be understood as to which embodiment shows such limitation. Moreover, "the magnetic body" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koiima (JP 07-263280).

Kojima (figs. 1-4) discloses a noise filter comprising: a laminated body including magnetic layers 11-16, line conductors 23, 25, and ground conductors 32, 34, 36; one line conductor alternates with one ground conductor in lamination; with one ground ground conductor arranged on a top magnetic layer 32 and another ground conductor arranged on a bottom magnetic layer 36; and the line conductors disposed between the magnetic layers being serially connected; and the magnetic layer is made of a magnetic oxide (see English Translation, Detailed Description, paragraph [008]). The limitation, "causes little or no attenuation of an electrical signals...where the magnetic loss occurs" is inherent from the device of Kojima since such limitation is an inherent characteristic of a noise filter.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Kojima (fig. 1(a)) shows the line conductor has a spiral shape 23, 25 and coiled around a center axis aligned in the direction of lamination of the magnetic layers.

The subject matter of claim 9 is discloses in paragraph [0015] (see English Translation, Detailed Description).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima (JP 07-263280).

The subject matter of claim 2 is considered as an obvious design modification to obtain a desire filter characteristic since Kojima teaches that the noise filter can be used in a broad frequency (see English Translation, Detailed Description, paragraph [0007]).

Using a line conductor having a meandering shape is considered as an obvious design modification since meandering shape inductor/conductor is well known in the art.

Claims 1-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (WO 03/001665) in view of Bodley et al. (US Pat. Appl. Publ. '967).

Yamamoto et al. (figs.1, 2, 12-15, for English translation, see GB 2,383,198 A, cited by the applicant) discloses a noise filter 11 comprising: a laminated body including

Art Unit: 2817

magnetic layers 12a-12n, line conductors 13-18 which has a spiral shape and coiled around a center axis aligned in the direction of lamination of the magnetic layers, and ground conductors 19; one line conductor alternates with one ground conductor in lamination; with one ground conductor arranged on a top magnetic layer 12b and another ground conductor arranged on a bottom magnetic layer 12n; and the line conductors disposed between the magnetic layers being serially connected. The limitation, "causes little or no attenuation of an electrical signals...where the magnetic loss occurs" is inherent from the device of Yamamoto et al. since such limitation is an inherent characteristic of a noise filter.

Yamamoto et al. is silent as to whether the magnetic layer is made of a magnetic oxide. However, Yamamoto et al. teaches that the magnetic sheets/layers are made of a ceramic material having a magnetic property such as ferrite or the like (see p. 15, 1-5). Thus, it is obvious that the magnetic oxide can be used as the magnetic layers in the device of Yamamoto et al. Moreover, Bodley et al. (fig. 2) discloses a similar noise filter having a plurality of magnetic layers are made of a magnetic oxide and also can be used a Ni-Cu-Zn ferrite (see paragraph [0009]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use magnetic oxide layers as the magnetic layers in the device of Yamamoto et al. since Yamamoto et al. suggests using ceramic material with magnetic property and Bodley et al. teaches magnetic oxide layers broaden the attenuation frequency band of the filter device (see paragraphs [0007]-[0009]).

Application/Control Number: 10/727,957

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claim 3, Yamamoto et al. also shows a line conductor has a meandering shape (fig. 16)

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima (JP 07-263280) in view of Kaneko et al. (US '931, insofar as understood).

Kojima does not show a hole filed with one of glass, a resin and a mixture of glass and resin. Insofar as understood, it is well known in the art to provide a protective layer to cover the noise filter. Kaneko et al. (fig. 2) discloses a conventional chip-type filter covered with a protective layer made of glass or resin. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a protective layer (or hole) in the device of Kojima to protect the filter since such design technique is well known in the art as shown by Kaneko et al.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (WO 03/001665) in view of Bodley et al. (US Pat. Appl. Publ. '967) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kaneko et al. (US '931, insofar as understood).

The modified device of Yamamoto et al. does not show a hole filed with one of glass, a resin and a mixture of glass and resin. Insofar as understood, it is well known in the art to provide a protective layer to cover the noise filter. Kaneko et al. (fig. 2) discloses a conventional chip-type filter covered with a protective layer made of glass or resin. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a protective layer (or hole) in the modified device of Yamamoto et al. to protect the filter since such design technique is well known in the art as shown by Kaneko et al.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yamamoto et al. (US '794) discloses a noise filter;

Tomohiro et al. (US '809) discloses a noise filter having inductors comprised of spiral electrodes; and Furutani et al. discloses a inductor having meandering shape.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsook Ham
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2817